# Stationary Flow Approximation In Floor-Field Models Using Markov-Chain Theory

#### Pavel Hrabák and František Gašpar

Czech Technical University in Prague

MATTS 2018 Thursday October 18, 2018

# What is it about?





#### Motivation

- 2) Cellular model as Markov Chain
- 3 Our contribution
- How was it done?
- 5 Conclusions
- Bonus Heterogeneity

## Cellular evacuation model





- Lattice consisting of cells 40 × 40 cm.
- How to model exit of the width 75 cm?
- Two cells representing 80 cm are "too much".

## Not the width, but maximal flow

- In Seyfried et al. 2009 linear dependence  $J = 1.9 \cdot$  width suggested.
- Same bottleneck width, different flow value.



- Key feature is the "maximal flow through the bottleneck"
- Measured experimentally or estimated by some linear model

 $J = 1.9 \cdot \text{width} + \beta_2 \cdot \text{property}_2 + \beta_3 \cdot \text{property}_3 + \dots$ 

• How to adjust the cellular model to produce wanted bottleneck flow?

## Locally dependent friction

Locally dependent "friction parameter".





• Wanted equation saying:

"For this flow value choose this value of the friction parameter"

Finding implicit equations of the form

J = J(parameters)

#### How?

#### Motivation



#### Cellular model as Markov Chain

- 3 Our contribution
- How was it done?

#### 5 Conclusions

6 Bonus – Heterogeneity

## Floor-field models

#### Floor-field conception

Static floor-field

 $S_b = \mathsf{dist}(b, b_{\mathsf{exit}})$ 

• Probabilistic choice of next cell

$$\mathsf{Pr}(b_{\mathsf{act}} o b) \propto \exp\{-k_{\mathcal{S}} \cdot S_b\}$$

#### Conflicts and friction

• Conflict: k agents to one cell.



• No one wins with prob.  $\phi(\zeta, k)$ .

#### **Cellular lattice**



## Flow approximation by Ezaki et. al 2012

T. Ezaki, D. Yanagisawa, and K. Nishinari, 2012

- $\bullet\,$  They investigated 25  $\times\,$  25 cells lattice regarding the stationary flow
- Maximal bottleneck flow approximated by means of reduced state space



• Markov chain with  $2^4$  states having stationary distribution  $\pi$ , thus

$$J \approx \sum_{s} j_s \pi_s$$

# Assumptions of the approximation

- $k_S \approx +\infty$ , thus almost deterministic motion
- Surrounding cells always occupied in congestion
- Result: "it works well"



<sup>1</sup>Taken from T. Ezaki, D. Yanagisawa, and K. Nishinari, 2012

1



- 2 Cellular model as Markov Chain
- Our contribution
  - How was it done?
  - 5 Conclusions
  - 6 Bonus Heterogeneity

### Questions to be answered by our research

- Does this work for general  $k_S \in (0, +\infty)$ ?
- Does this work for multiple-cell wide bottlenecks?



Is the assumption of always occupied surrounding cells valid?



# General k<sub>S</sub>



# Multiple-cell exits



# Assumption of always occupied surrounding cells

- Simulation of the 25 × 25 cells lattice
- Theoretical result with r<sub>0</sub> ∈ [0, 1], probability of the nearest cell being empty.



Our contribution

### Flow depending on friction – main result





- 2) Cellular model as Markov Chain
- 3 Our contribution

#### How was it done?

- 5 Conclusions
- 6 Bonus Heterogeneity

## Building the transition matrix **P** algorithmically



## Stationary flow calculation

Stationary distribution satisfying

$$\pi = \pi \cdot \mathbf{P}$$

• Due to numerical issues

$$m{\pi} = m{
ho}(0) \cdot \lim_{n o +\infty} m{
ho}^n pprox m{
ho}(0) \cdot m{
ho}^{n_0}$$

The flow calculation

$$J pprox \sum_{s} j_s \cdot \pi_s$$
,  $j_s = \mathsf{E}(\mathsf{outflowing agents} \mid s)$ 



- Cellular model as Markov Chain
- 3 Our contribution
- How was it done?

#### 5 Conclusions

Bonus – Heterogeneity

#### Results

- Algorithm for building the transition matrix (MATLAB)
- Symbolic matrices
- Flow depending on frinction  $\zeta$



Valid rather for lower values of ζ

#### Motivation

- 2 Cellular model as Markov Chain
- 3 Our contribution
- How was it done?

#### 5 Conclusions



## Heterogeneity

- $H \ge 1$  types of agents
- Various attraction strength to the exit

$$\pmb{k_{S}} = (k_{\mathcal{S};1}, \ldots, k_{\mathcal{S};H})$$

Various friction ability

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_H)$$

Generalized friction function

 $\phi(\bar{\zeta}, k)$ 

Aggressiveness

$$oldsymbol{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_H)$$
  
 $\Pr(j \in \{1, \dots, k\} \text{wins}) \propto A_{i_j}$ 

Input distribution

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_H)$$

## Heterogeneity

Problem: how to determine the distribution of nearest cell occupation

$$\mathbf{r}=(r_1,\ldots,r_H).$$

• Substituted from the simulation  $\implies$  approximation works well



#### Thank you for your attention!