

Physical Mechanism for the Occurrence of Wide-Scattering in Traffic Cellular Automata (TCA) Models

Presented by

QUEK Wei Liang PhD Student, Physics and Applied Physics School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University

30th October 2015

Conference on Traffic and Granular Flow '15

Under Supervision of: Assoc. Prof CHEW Lock Yue, NTU Dr Terence Hung

Background

- Flow-density relation (Fundamental Diagram)
 - Nagel-Schneckenberg CA model (1992)
- <u>Wide-scattering of flow-density states</u>
 - Three-phase theory (Boris Kerner, 2000s)
 - KKS Model (2012)
 - Average Space Gap Model JF Tian (2012)
 - Defensive Driving Model JPL Neto (2012)



Conceptualisation

- Lack of understanding of wide-scattering
 - Study using Nagel-Schreckenberg Cellular Automata (1991)



- 1. Success in describing mechanism of jam formation
 - Corresponds to Sugiyama (2008)

Proposing an <u>elementary mechanism</u> which captures wide-scattering



2. Preliminary studies:

Ē

Congestion under bottlenecks



Verify using wide-scattering captured by NaSch model under bottlenecks



• Quantifying width of scattering



- Average number of flow data point per density
- Propose methodology which varies the width of scattering systematically in the NaSch model using bottlenecks

5

• Lane probability = [0, 0.5]





Hypothesis

- <u>Wide-scattering is due to the</u> variability of cluster formation in congested traffic state
- Congested traffic clustering of random sizes
 - Quantifier: Time series of cluster count



t=0

t=200

x=0

x=100

• Variability = Std deviation of cluster count fluctuation





Underlying Physics

Count = 1, Flow = 1×6



Count = 5, Flow = 5×3



Numerical Results

- Objective function: Std dev of cluster count
- Tuning parameter: Lane probability in roadBN
- Relationship between <u>scattering width</u> and <u>standard deviation of</u> <u>cluster count</u>
- Numerically: Variability
 of cluster formation



Analysis on Existing TCA Models (I)

- Wide-scattering in TCA models
 - JF Tian (2011) average space gap model
- Three phase traffic
 - Competition due to Slow-to-start rules
 - Anticipation due to Velocity synchronisation



Analysis on Existing TCA Models (II)

Table 1 Width of scattering and cluster variation between ASGM and NaSch Model. Data obtained for density = 0.16, sampled over 10 sets of 100 readings

	Width of Scattering	Cluster Variation
Control ASGM	$\begin{array}{c} 49.5 \pm 3.3 \\ 76.7 \pm 1.9 \end{array}$	18.3 ± 0.4 (Mean = 136.2) 32.3 ± 0.7 (Mean = 64.9)

- Width increases with cluster variation ~50%
- Normalised standard deviation: 0.13 vs 0.50



<u>Qualitative Analysis</u>

- Speed adaptation
 - Assessing speed of preceding vehicles
 - Synchronises vehicle speeds
 - Many single vehicle clusters
- Slow-to-start rule
 - Favours large cluster -> slow speed
- Additional ASGM mechanism
 - Favouring statically unfavourable cluster configurations

Summary & Future Works

- Elementary mechanism which leads to wide-scattering in TCA models
- Variability of cluster formation in congested traffic
 - Described physical mechanism
 - Checked with tuneable scattering using road bottlenecks
 - Check for theoretical coherence with three-phase models (AGSM)
- Further numerical analysis can be done with TCA models

Thank you!

QUEK Wei Liang - s130019@e.ntu.edu.sg



- NaSch CA model Discrete and stochastic
 - 4 evolution rules: Acceleration, Systematic deceleration, Random deceleration, Movement
 - Periodic boundary condition
- Modification: Road bottlenecks



