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## Summary

In this study, 43 tumors that were induced by retroviral insertional mutagenesis are expression profiled, resulting in a dataset for which both the initiating events (the viral integration sites) as well as the consequent expression profiles are available.

To capture complex associations that arise due to interaction among insertion target genes, we infer small Boolean logic networks that explicitly incorporate operators to model the potential parallel alternatives ('exclusive-or' gates) as well as the potential cooperation between mutations ('and' gates).

Co-occurrence and mutual exclusiveness


Combinatorial Association Logic (CAL)


Observation 1 - limited number of networks
$\rightarrow$ Due to the risk of overtraining, only small networks are considered $\rightarrow$ Due to symmetry, many networks are not considered
 Solve for each topology seperately

Observation 2 - optimize approximate $t$ - score

$$
\hat{t}-\text { score }=\sum_{i \in \text { all tumors }} \mathbf{w}_{i}\left(\mathbf{y}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\text {opt }}\right)
$$

$\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{opt}} \longrightarrow$ Best possible solution (independent of network topology or inputs)
$\mathbf{w} \longrightarrow$ Tumor weights, found by minimizing difference between $t$ and $\hat{t}$ Efficiently optimized by branch-and-bound

Estimating weights

$$
\mathbf{w}=\min _{\mathbf{w}}\|t-\hat{t}\|_{2}
$$



