## Student meeting presentation evaluation form

This form is intended to help you evaluate student presentations. In general, a presentation can be marked on four aspects: structure, content, delivery and visual aids.

Presentation by: Date:		
Evaluated by:		
Aspect	Mark (1-5)	Comments
Structure		1
The introduction:		
<ul> <li>gets the attention of the audience and causes the audience to listen attentively (this cape done through, for instance, a provoking statement, a problem description, a direct question addressed to the audience, references to current affairs, etc.)</li> <li>explicitly states the aim of the presentation ("In the next 10 minutes I will show the advantages of method X over method Y"; "In the next 15 minutes I would like to take you through the steps I have taken in my research project to arrive at this goal", etc.)</li> <li>indicates the main outline of the presentation and explicates how the different topics are connected (so no "First something about x and then something about y", but "After that, we will describe the concepts that we developed on the basis of these criteria")</li> </ul>	n	
The body:		
<ul> <li>is clearly structured:         <ul> <li>transitions between different parts of the presentation are always clearly marked</li> <li>"That's as far as the advantages are concerned. Does that mean there are no disadvantages at all? In fact, there are. I would now like to discuss three of them"</li> <li>main and minor points are easily discernible for the audience ("Before I go into this more elaborately, I would first like to give you a brief example of")</li> </ul> </li> <li>does not take up more time than necessary; the whole presentation should not be longer or shorter than agreed upon</li> </ul>		
The conclusion:		
<ul> <li>briefly summarizes the whole presentation</li> <li>contains a clear conclusion</li> <li>has a clear ending (for example, the speaker comes back to the question posed in the introduction and now provides a clear answer to it, may fine-tune some of the bolder statements made in the introduction, etc.)</li> </ul>		, ,
Content		
The problem:		w .
<ul> <li>it is clear that this is an important problem to study (at least to some people)</li> <li>the contribution of the speaker towards solving the problem is clear</li> </ul>		
The amount of detail in the presentation:		я
caters well for the audience's needs		
Aspect	Mark (1-5)	Comments
The line of reasoning:		

a la counde doos not contain a set all a t	T	
<ul> <li>is sound; does not contain any fallacies</li> <li>is logical: conclusions clearly follow each other</li> <li>is convincing; e.g. if a trade-off is made between concepts, it is done systematically and</li> </ul>		
with the help of a table		
Delivery		
The speaker (m/f):		
<ul> <li>appears confident (stands up straight, weight is evenly distributed on both feet)</li> <li>is involved in the subject matter (makes use of hand gestures, speaks in a lively fashion and is enthusiastic)</li> <li>has contact with the audience (looks at the audience and does not read from notes; if the student writes on the board, he turns around at regular intervals in order to maintain contact with the audience)</li> <li>is pleasant to listen to (is easy to understand, talks calmly, clearly and in a lively fashion)</li> <li>after the presentation the student handles the questions in a professional manner (repeats them if necessary, gives a clear and precise answer, makes sure he maintains contact with the whole audience, after answering checks whether the questioner is satisfied with the answer)</li> </ul>		
Visual Aids		<u> </u>
<ul> <li>the number of slides relates well to the length of the talk (e.g. 1-2 minutes/slide)</li> <li>strike a good balance between the use of text, figures/illustrations and formulas</li> <li>are well-designed (effort has been put into the layout, colour schemes and font type; all the slides have the same layout; the first slide contains the name of the speaker and a clear presentation title; every slide has a title that covers the content matter; the spelling is correct)</li> <li>are easy on the eye (there is a good colour contrast so that the text is legible; distracting special effects and noises are not used)</li> <li>are not too full (there are no more than 7 lines of 7 words per slide, tables are not too big and illustrations not too detailed)</li> <li>are handled well (larger tables or graphs are explained in stages. The speaker uses the computer skillfully and selects the next slide at the right moment)</li> <li>have a very high legibility (text is large enough; if necessary, illustrations have been</li> </ul>		¥
adapted for PowerPoint use)		
Overall evaluation		
very poor – weak – satisfactory – good – very good (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)		
Further explanation for given evaluation:		
*		

٠.