Smart Mitigation of flow-induced Acoustic Radiation and Transmission for reduced Aircraft, Surface traNSport, Workplaces and wind enERgy noise





Development Rod-Linear Cascade Model for Fan-Wake/OGV Interaction Noise Studies

C. Teruna, D. Ragni, F. Avallone, D. Casalino

TU Delft/3DS Workshop, 14 September 2018



H2020 MARIE SKŁODOWSKA-CURIE ACTIONS





Agenda



• Introducing The Rod – Linear Cascade Test Rig

• Preliminary Computational Results using PowerFLOW

• Noise Mitigation Strategies and Outlook

• Q&A session







Mimicking Fan wake – OGV TIN*





A simplified **test model** which **replicates the turbulence impingement mechanism** would be advantageous for **parametric studies** and **noise mitigation applications**.

* Turbulence Impingement Noise

S<u>mart</u> ISWER

[1] https://www.behance.net/gallery/27888995/How-does-a-turbofan-engine-work

[2] Enghardt, L. Improvement of Fan Broadband Noise Prediction: Experimental Investigation and Computational Modelling. Final Project Report: Proband-DLR-WP1-Task, 1. 2008.



Mimicking Fan wake – OGV TIN





[1] Hubbard, H. H.. Aeroacoustics Of Flight Vehicles: Theory And Practice. Volume 1. Noise Sources (No. NASA-L-16926-VOL-1). NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA. 1991.



Why Rod-Linear Cascade?



THE BRIDGE TOWARDS REALISM



MORE REALISTIC



Why Rod-Linear Cascade?



A CONFIGURATION TO FILL THE GAP

Tonal and broadband excitation as source				
Flow deflection (cascade flow field) and acoustic- blade interaction	×			
Multiple blade excitation and source phase interference	×	×		
Rotor swirl and duct mode propagation	×	×	×	





CASCADE / HIGH-DEFLECTION WIND TUNNEL



C-1 Wind Tunnel, VKI, Belgium [1]





NGG Tunnel, DLR, Germany [2]

[1] https://www.vki.ac.be/index.php/research-consulting-mainmenu-107/facilities-other-menu-148/turbomachinery-other-menu-174/86-low-speed-cascade-wind-tunnel-c-1 [2] https://www.dlr.de/at/en/desktopdefault.aspx/tabid-1562/2429_read-3782/.





DEFLECT THE FLOW BEFORE OR AFTER THE CASCADE?

• Flow exiting the test section must be aligned with the collector downstream.



Parametric simulations to achieve acceptable flow uniformity within the test section







Rod-linear cascade experimental rig





ONE ROD TO MAKE THE DIFFERENCE



Comparison of linear cascade acoustics response with and without rod-wake excitation





- Overestimated wind tunnel capability
 - ightarrow Freestream velocity is readjusted from 100 m/s to 75 m/s
- Unwanted separation on the cascade blade
 - \rightarrow Cascade incidence is increased from 10.5° to 30° (operational condition)







FULLY-MODULAR TEST RIG



TU Delft/3DS Workshop, 14 September 2018



The test rig to human scale comparison





II. PRELIMINARY RESULTS



Spectra Directivity



Bandwidth in St

0.02 - 0.05

0.05 - 0.15

0.15 - 0.25

0.25 - 0.5

0.5 - 0.75

Total



- The narrowband at the **BPF dominates the OSPL** in most direction.
- Very narrow directivity for the broadband components at higher frequency ranges, which may corresponds to the diffraction of turbulent eddies by the blade edges.







Pressure distribution on rod and OGV surface

Source power level comparison

- Source PWL is reduced due to lower freestream velocity. Better SNR at the BPF due to weaker low-frequency contents.
- Discrepancy in the blade pressure distribution is very likely due to stagger angle difference.



Flowfield Visualization





TU Delft/3DS Workshop, 14 September 2018



Flowfield Visualization













Noise Mitigation Strategies



A FLEXIBLE PLATFORM FOR TIN MITIGATION STUDIES





What's Next?



- Experimental campaign to verify the simulations.
- The inclusion of leading edge serration to the OGV as baseline noise mitigation strategy and to investigate its impacts on performance.
- Characterization of metal-foam sample with PowerFLOW porous media models.



FLIGHTPATH 2050

EUROPE'S VISION FOR AIR TRANSPORT



Thank you for your attention !



TU Delft/3DS Workshop, 14 September 2018

Smart ANSWER

2020

MARIE CURIE

HORLZ