Thermodynamics of Active Magnetic Regenerators







The equations governing the physics of active magnetic regenerators are presented in simplified form. These are used as the basis for a numerical model which is compared to experimental results for validation.

Tom Burdyny, Andrew Rowe





•AMR Thermodynamics

•Governing behaviour, losses, magnetic cycle

•Goal: To find T_{Span} and Q_{c} as a function of T_{H}

- •Model based on simplified theory
- •Comparison to experimental results
- •Current issues
- •Future work
- •Summary



Motivation

•What is the purpose of a model based on simplified theory?

Quick solutions

•Immediate sensitivity analysis

•Optimization

- •Parameters linked to equipment sizing and costs
- •Beneficial for all researchers in a group



Fluid:
$$m'_f c_p \frac{\partial T_f}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \dot{m} c_p \frac{\partial T_f}{\partial \tilde{x}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}} \left(k_f A \frac{\partial T_f}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right) + hA' \left(T_s - T_f \right)$$
 (1)

Solid:
$$M'_{s}c_{B}\frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial \tilde{t}} + M'_{s}T_{s}\left(\frac{\partial m}{\partial T}\right)_{H}\frac{\partial \mu_{0}H}{\partial \tilde{t}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}}\left(k_{s}A \frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right) + hA'\left(T_{f} - T_{s}\right)$$
 (2)

Assuming
$$T_f = T_s$$
 and $k_{eff} = k_f + k_s$:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{\Phi}{R} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{M'_s L c_B} \frac{\tau_B}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{k_{eff} A}{L} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial T_{ad}}{\partial t}$$
(3)

where R is the thermal mass ratio, Φ is utilization and T_{ad} is the adiabatic temperature change of the material.

¹Rowe A. Thermodynamics of active magnetic regenerators: Part I. Cryogenics (2011), doi:10.1016/j.cryogenics.2011.09.005



Governing Equations cont.¹

Against other terms conduction is then assumed to be small:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{\Phi}{R} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial T1}{\partial t_{s}} \frac{\Phi \tau_{B} T\partial}{L c_{B} R R \partial \partial x} \left(\frac{\hbar_{e} \partial T_{dd}}{R L \partial t \partial x} \right) = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial T_{ad}}{\partial t}$$
(3)

Eq.(4) used to find the temperature at any point in the magnetic cycle relative to a single state point.

For convenience a secondary definition of utilization is:

$$U_H = \frac{\Phi_H}{R_H} \tag{5}$$

where the subscript H represents the utilization during a hot blow and C for a cold blow.

¹Rowe A. Thermodynamics of active magnetic regenerators: Part I. Cryogenics (2011), doi:10.1016/j.cryogenics.2011.09.005



Energy Balance²



²Rowe A. Thermodynamics of active magnetic regenerators: Part II. Cryogenics (2011), doi:10.1016/j.cryogenics.2011.09.007







•Using Eq.(4) and $T_a(x)$, temperatures T_b , T_c , T_d are found •The fluid temperature, $T_f(x)$, is then: $T_f = \frac{1}{4}(T_a + T_b + T_c + T_d)$ (11) $T_{Span} = T_H - T_C = T_f(x = L) - T_f(x = 0)$



Cooling Power

•Cooling Power is post-calculated

$$Q_c = Q_{amr} - Q_{losses}$$

$$Q_c = Q_{amr} - Q_{NTU} - Q_{amb}$$

$$(12)$$

$$(13)$$

$$Q_{amr} = \frac{M'_s L c_s}{\tau_c} \left(\frac{\Phi}{R} \Delta T - K \frac{dT}{dx}\right)$$
(14)

$$Q_{NTU} = \frac{m_{disp}}{2} \omega c_p (T_H - T_C) \left(\frac{NTU/2}{(NTU/2)^2 + (m_f/m_{disp})^2} \right)$$
(15)

$$Q_{amb} = \left(\frac{0.16W}{K}\right)(T_H - T_c) \tag{16}^3$$

³Tura, A. Cryogenic AMR Test Apparatus. CEC-ICMC, Keystone, Colorado, 2005



Model

Inputs

- •T_{a0} and T_{a1} (Boundary Condition's)
- •Operating parameters, fluid/solid properties

Outputs

- •T_{Span}
- •Cooling power, work, efficiency



Experimental Data⁴

•Data is from the SC-AMRTA at the University of Victoria

- •Working fluid is Helium $(R\sim 1)$
- •Materials are Gd, GdTb and GdEr flakes

•Experiments use either single, double or triple pucks of material



⁴Tura, A. Active Magnetic Regenerator Experimental Optimization. Master's Thesis, University of Victoria, 2005



Model Results Summary

•Comparison to experimental results

- •T_{Span} vs T_H
- •Regenerator temperatures profile

•Effect of utilization (U) on calculated results



Results: Q_c vs T_{Span} (T_H=292K)



Results: Q_c vs T_{Span} (T_H=292K)



Results: $T_H vs T_{Span} (Q_c = 0W)$



Results: $T_H vs T_{Span} (Q_c = 0W)$



Results: Interface Temperature



Results: Effect of Utilization, U

•Near the Curie point curves destabilize for high U

•Prevents T_{Span} from being calculated at $T_{H} = 310.7$ K











- •Other losses
 - Demagnetization
 - •Other heat leaks
- •Model over predicts by a consistent amount
- •Simulations take \sim 3s for each set of BC's
- •For a desired T_H value multiple simulations (i.e. various BC's) need to be performed and the data interpolated
 - •One specific data point (T_H , T_C) takes ~15s



Future Work

- •Allow for the user to choose a specific field strength
- •Account for further losses
- •Layering
- •Use model in conjunction with:
 - •Costing
 - •Optimization of parameters



Summary

- •Simplified AMR thermodynamics were presented
- •A model was created using this theory
- •Model compared to experimental results
 - •Shows similarities to experiments
 - •Requires further data comparisons (GdTb)

