Magnetocaloric Materials BASF not only for cooling applications

Ekkes Brück

Fundamental Aspects of Materials and Energy,

Radiation Radionuclides Reactors, TNW,

Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Introduction

Magnetic cooling

Giant magnetocaloric effect

power generation

Review: E. Brück, Magnetic refrigeration near room temperature, Handbook of magnetic materials Vol 17 chapt. 4 (2007) ed. K.H.J. Buschow



BASE The Chemical Company

Introduction

°₀.₀ ₽/₽

0.6

0.4

0.2

1.05 1.1 1.1 1.15

Cooling techniques

Physical principle

Expansion ideal gas

Joule-Thomson effect

Application

Stirling-cooler Claude-turbine

Liquefactor (Linde)

Electronics Infrared visors

Evaporation

Peltier effect

Adiabatic demagnetization

House-hold refrigerator Cool box Low-temperature physics









Introduction Magnetic cooling: Debye and Giauque 1926

768

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Attainment of Temperatures Below 1° Absolute by Demagnetization of Gd₂(SO₄)₃.8H₂O

We have recently carried out some preliminary experiments on the adiabatic demagnetization of $Gd_2(SO_4)_3$ $\cdot 8H_2O$ at the temperatures of liquid helium. As previously predicted by one of us, a large fractional lowering of the absolute temperature was obtained.

An iron-free solenoid producing a field of about 8000 gauss was used for all the measurements. The amount of $Gd_2(SO_4)_3 \cdot 8H_2O$ was 61 g. The observations were checked by many repetitions of the cooling. The temperatures were measured by means of the inductance of a coil surrounding the gadolinium sulfate. The coil was immersed in liquid helium and isolated from the gadolinium by means of an evacuated space. The thermometer was in excellent agreement with the temperature of liquid helium as indicated by its vapor pressure down to $1.5^{\circ}K$.

On March 19, starting at a temperature of about 3.4° K, the material cooled to 0.53° K. On April 8, starting at about 2°, a temperature of 0.34° K was reached. On April 9, starting at about 1.5°, a temperature of 0.25° K was attained.

It is apparent that it will be possible to obtain much lower temperatures, especially when successive demagnetizations are utilized.

> W. F. GIAUQUE D. P. MACDOUGALL

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California, April 12, 1933.

61g Gd₂(SO₄)₃·8H₂O, ΔB=0.8T, 1.5K → 0.25Kobel prize 1949

Introduction

Magnetic refrigeration is based on magnetocaloric effect (MCE)



$$\Delta S_m(T, \Delta B) = -\int_{B_i}^{B_f} \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right) dB$$

$$\Delta T(T, \Delta B) = -\int_{B_i}^{B_f} \frac{T}{C_{p,B}} \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right) dB$$

- ΔS^{max} important for <u>cooling capacity</u>
- ΔT important for <u>heat flow</u>



Giant MCE

First-order field-induced magneto-structural transition

$Gd_5(Si_xGe_{1-x})_4$	1997
MnAs _{1-x} Sb _x	2001
La(Fe _{1-x} Si _x) ₁₃	2001
MnFeP _{1-x} As _x	2002
Ni _{0.5} Mn _{0.5-x} Sn _x	2005



- Determination of MCE
- 1. Magnetic measurements

$$\Delta S_m(T, \Delta B) = \sum_{i} \frac{M_{i+1}(T_{i+1}, B) - M_i(T_i, B)}{T_{i+1} - T_i} \Delta B$$

2. Specific-heat measurements

$$\Delta S_{m}(T,B) = \int_{0}^{T} \frac{C(T',B) - C(T',0)}{T'} dT'$$

$$\Delta T_{ad}(T,B) = -\int_{0}^{B} \frac{T}{C(T,B')} \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right)_{B} dB'$$

- 3. Direct measurement of change of T
- 4. Pulse field technique





Pulse field magnet allows fast magnetic measurements

- BASF

The Chemical Company

- *Thermocouple* enables to measure the temperature more accurate before and after the pulse taking place
- An adiabatic M(H) curve will intersect the isothermal curves obtained at higher temperatures



Transition-metal compounds

High abundance (low price)

Intermediate magnetic moment (moderate MC effect)

Frequently Curie temperatures exceeding RT

Strong coupling to lattice (Simultaneous magnetic and structural transitions or metamagnetism)





Fe₂P related materials

Hexagonal Fe₂P type of structure





Temperature dependence of magnetization







(1) virgin effect, (2)heating, (3) subsequent cooling



The Chemical Company

Replace As by Ge





Concentration dependence of T_C for Ge and As









specific heat in field —**■**— B = 0 T —**■**— B = 0 T • B = 0.5 T ● B = 0.5 T 0.6 0.6 → B = 1.0 T → B = 1.0 T -**---** B = 1.5 T —**▼**— B = 1.5 T B = 2.0 T← B = 2.0 T 0.5 C_p/T (J/molK²) 0.4 Mn_{1.24}Fe_{0.76}P_{0.75}Ge_{0.25} (bulk) 0.3 $Mn_{1.2}Fe_{0.8}P_{0.75}Ge_{0.25}$ (bulk) 1120°C-od/r1120°C-20h-quenched 220300 220 240 260 280 240320 260340 280 300 320 Sample dependence need T⁄ (K) for careful characterization



Improved Sample preparation

- **Melt-spinning**
 - + $Mn_{2-x}Fe_{x}P_{0.75}Ge_{0.25}$ (x = 0.70, 0.76, 0.78, 0.80)
 - + Ar gas pressure ~ 1 atm.
 - + surface speed of the wheel v = 40 m/s
 - + ribbons were annealed for ± 10 min.











Small thermal hyteresis, $T_c = 288$ K

Large MCE observed at low operation field







 $\tau = 100 \text{ ms} (f = 10 \text{ Hz})$: field sweep rate of 300 Tesla/sec. \rightarrow adiabatic condition

Magnetocaloric effect is directly observed







 ΔT_{ad} (*H*) is constructed via the crossing points of the adiabatic curve with the set of isothermal curves

For x = 0.80:
$$\Delta T_{ad} \approx 3$$
 K/Tesla





X	c/a	∆T _{hys} (K)	T _c (K)	-∆S _{m, max} (Jkg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	∆T _{ad, max} (K/T)
0.80	0.5626	1	288	20.3	3.0
0.78	0.5638	2	274	15.3	2.5
0.76	0.5646	2	254	16.4	2.6
0.70	0.5651	0	230	9.8	



- T_c decreases with increasing the Mn/Fe ratio
- Mn_{2-x} $Fe_xP_{0.75}Ge_{0.25}$ compounds:
 - + Small thermal hysteresis
 - + Large range of working temperature
 - + Large MCE





Specific heat measurements



 $S_{tot} = S_{lat} + S_m + S_e$

Magnetic field induces a shift of transition temperature ~ 4 K/T



$$\Delta T_{ad}(T, \Delta B) = -\int_{0}^{B} \frac{T}{C(T, B')} \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right)_{B} dB$$





Summary MnFe(P,As,Si,Ge)



Field driven 1st order magnetoelastic transition 150 K < $\rm T_{c}$ < 450 K .

MnFe(P,As) hexagonal above magnetic transition

hexagonal below.

Hardly any volume change(<0.1 %) but change of c/a.







$$dQ = TdS = c_p dT + T \frac{\partial M}{\partial T} dB$$

BASE

The Chemical Company

$$W_{elect} = I^2 R = -\frac{N^2 S^2}{R} \left(\frac{dB}{dt}\right)^2$$

Edison's machine from 1892

directly employing the heat from the coal fire. Interesting design with very low efficiency.



Modern design without moving parts.





Increased T span with active magnetic regenerator containing different materials with tailored T_c





High efficiency with locally only small ΔT but working in series over large T span and thus larger power output.



Possible scenario to increase efficiency of solar cells



Conclusions I

- First order magnetic transition common to giant MCE!
- Structural transition may cause extra hysteresis.
- Control of hysteresis very important but possible.
- Evaluation of entropy change needs care.
- Fe and Mn based systems with much lower materials costs.
- Relevant T range covered by MnFe(P,As,Si,Ge).
- Sample preparation simplest for MnFe(P,As) with As replaced by other element.





Conclusions II

- 1. Pulse field magnet provides a good approach for directly monitoring the MCE
- 2. ΔT_{ad} is calculated by comparing the M(*H*) curves obtained in isothermal and adiabatic process
- 3. $Mn_{2-x}Fe_{x}P_{0.75}Ge_{0.25}$ ribbons exhibit excellent magnetocaloric properties
- 4. MnFe(P,As,Ge,Si) compounds can be used as magnetocaloric medium working at high frequencies

Thank you for your attention !