



The Business Models Behind Integrated Home Renovation Services

Towards Accelerating Deep Renovation of Residential Buildings with Multiple Homeowners

Ragy Elgendy, PhD researcher

Department of Management in the Built Environment, Faculty of Architecture and the Built Environment, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands







CondoReno

This research is part of the research project "**CondoReno**" funded by the European Union Programme for Environment and Climate Action (LIFE) MGA — Multi & Mono, under grant agreement.

The aim of the project is to accelerate energy renovations for condominium associations by creating 6 viable business models for integrated home renovation service providers to be replicated in 10 European countries.









11/8/2024

01Introduction

esearch Methodology

01 Data an

Ragy Elgendy

is





- The current renovation rate for buildings in Europe is 1% annually (Tsemekidi Tzeiranaki et al., 2022)
- Homeowner associations struggle to renovate their buildings (D'Oca et al., 2018; Mlecnik et al., 2019; Bagaini et al., 2020).

Source (Eurostat, 2020)

11/8/2024

Ragy Elgendy



Housing in Europe

- Most Buildings built after the second world war are now more than 60 years old
- Most of those buildings are at the end of their (designed) service life
- Almost 75% of the building stock is currently energy inefficient
- More than 85% of today's buildings are likely to still be in use in 2050





(Sources: Eurostat and EU Buildings Factsheets 2020).

11/8/2024

3 Research Methodology

Ragy Elgendy



 \equiv

HOAs in the Netherlands



Source: (Centraal Bureau voor statistiek, 2023)





Energy renovations can





Reduce energy consumption & energy bills

Reduce carbon footprint Increase user comfort & indoor air quality I ST

Increase building value



Contribute to the SDG goals





Mapping of Barriers within the CA Renovation Journey



01Introduction

02 Setting the scene

rch Mathadalagy

04 Data analysis



Involvement of several stakeholders





Integrated home renovation service providers (IHRS)

Home renovation projects frequently involve multiple tasks that necessitate coordination among various trades and stakeholders



	02 Setting the scene	03 Research Methodology	04 Data analysis	
11/8/2024		Ragy E	lgendy	9



Development of an innovative process

11/8/2024



06 Future researcl



Business model canvas

The business model canvas is a **strategic management** tool that helps **capture**, **create** and **deliver** market value.



11/8/2024		Ragy E	lgendy	11
	02 Setting the scene	03 Research Methodology		



IHRS provides business models typologies

Public model

For example, Municipal services of "energy houses" in Antwerp, Mechelen and Ostend in Belgium

Private model

For example, Living-cost neutral renovation services of non-profit organization WNR in the Netherlands Agency model For example, CoachCoPro services Agence Parisienne du Climat in Paris

Published in SBEUT

https://research.tudelft.nl/en/publica tions/typologies-of-business-modelsof-integrated-home-renovation-servi

	2000 COOS	PEJ2	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>
8	3	3	역 The status. 전체 Tabled a late fair CC BY 43 locase 안 UC The status of the CC BY 43 locase 안 UC The status of the
11/8/2024		Ragy Elgendy	

03 Research Methodology



ndings



 Architecture firms Brokers Construction companies 	 Human resources (10FTE) Online platform Database Digital tools Office space 	Legal ad manage	the ion vice & ment	 Website Webinars and Events Promotional videos Bootcamps Ads in newspaper 		ion
Cost structure • Salaries • Insurand • Partners • Travel es • Online p	s and office rent ace and Taxes rships made with other stake expenses platform and marketing	keholders	Revent stream	 Revenues are gen decisions made at renovation proces Percentage of the renovation. 	erated through investment t various stages of the ss (BM6) total cost of the	- COS

Key partners Construction companies Architects Lawyers Lawyers Engineers Municipalities Municipalities SMEs Banks	 Key activities Process guidance Advise municipalities Coordination between all stakeholders Help CAs apply for subsidies On site job management Key scources (45FTE) Online platform City data Digital tools Office space 	 Value propositi All-inclu renovati to Z Technic specifica Persona financin for energi Providin Prefinan subsidie 	on sive ion from A al ations lized g solutions gy retrofits	 Customer pelationship Building trust Home visits Transparent communication Follow-up Channels Social media & Website Webinars OSS Events Phone calls 	 Customer segments Multifamily apartment buildings Municipalities Homeowners and tenants Condominium managers 	FUDelft Bearment of the Bouwkunde
Cost structure • Salarie • Partne • Travel • Online • Energy	es rship <mark>s mad</mark> e with other stake expenses platform r audits	eholders	Revenu streams	 Investment decision customers to under masterplan and fu Knowledge sharin Public funds from 	ons made by the ertake a feasibility study, Ill renovation service g and research projects the regional government.	e Geze
11/8/2024			Ragy Elgend	У		15
				04 Data analysis		



Data analysis of the 14 BMs on how they tackle the identified barriers and their involvement in the renovation journey of HOAs

				Bus	siness	s moo	dels o	finte	egrate	ed ho	ome r	enova	ation	servi	ice pr	ovide	ers
	Renovation		_s ht				Pub	lic				Ρ	rivate	e	Publi	c-Priv	ate
Steps	Steps Barrier					BM5	BM8	BM9	BM10	BM13	BM14	BM2	BM6	BM7	BM1	BM11	BM12
				Doe	s not	addr	ess th	ne ba	rrier	1= Pa	artiall	ly add	dress	es 2=	=Fully	addre	esse
	Initial observation/	Lack of awareness and interest (SB2)	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1
	identification phase	Lack of qualified advisors (TB8)	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		Lack of transparency and pure communication (SB4)	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	0	1	2	2	2
	Research &	Unregistered HOAs (LB6)	3	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Step 1	information-	Limited municipal resources (LB7)	3	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	1	2	2	2
Onboarding	gathering phase	Lack of technical know-how (TB1)	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
phase		Split incentives (FB4)	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	Assessment phase	Lack of transparency and pure communication (SB4)	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	0	0	2	2	2
		Condominium managers business case (FB6)	3	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	0
		Total score per phase	70	48	52	51	42	48	51	45	42	41	25	34	61	52	49
	Success	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase	70 %	48 69	52 74	51 73	42 60	48 69	51 73	45 64	42 60	41 59	25 36	34 49	61 87	52 74	49 70
	Success Financial planning	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase The financial burden for homeowners (FB5)	70 % 4	48 69 1	52 74 1	51 73 1	42 60 1	48 69 1	51 73 1	45 64 1	42 60 0	41 59 2	25 36 1	34 49 2	61 87 2	52 74 2	49 70 2
	Success Financial planning phase	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase The financial burden for homeowners (FB5) Split incentives (FB4)	70 % 4 4	48 69 1 0	52 74 1 0	51 73 1	42 60 1	48 69 1 0	51 73 1	45 64 1 0	42 60 0	41 59 2 0	25 36 1 0	34 49 2 0	61 87 2	52 74 2 1	49 70 2 0
	Success Financial planning phase	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase The financial burden for homeowners (FB5) Split incentives (FB4) Technical challenges in older buildings (TB6)	70 % 4 4 4	48 69 1 0 0	52 74 1 0	51 73 1 0	42 60 1 0	48 69 1 0 0	51 73 1 0	45 64 1 0 1	42 60 0 1	41 59 2 0 1	25 36 1 0 1	34 49 2 0 1	61 87 2 1 1	52 74 2 1	49 70 2 0 1
	Success Financial planning phase	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase The financial burden for homeowners (FB5) Split incentives (FB4) Technical challenges in older buildings (TB6) Safety and seismic risks (TB3)	70 % 4 4 4 1	48 69 1 0 0	52 74 1 0 0 0	51 73 1 0 0	42 60 1 0 0 0	48 69 1 0 0	51 73 1 0 0 0	45 64 1 0 1 1	42 60 0 1 1	41 59 2 0 1 2	25 36 1 0 1 2	34 49 2 0 1 2	 61 87 2 1 1 0 	52 74 2 1 1 1	49 70 2 0 1 1
	Success Financial planning phase Feasibility phase	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase The financial burden for homeowners (FB5) Split incentives (FB4) Technical challenges in older buildings (TB6) Safety and seismic risks (TB3) High upfront cost (FB1)	70 % 4 4 4 1 5	48 69 1 0 0 0 1	52 74 1 0 0 0 0	51 73 1 0 0 0	42 60 1 0 0 0 1	48 69 1 0 0 0 0	51 73 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	45 64 1 0 1 1 1	42 60 0 1 1 0	41 59 2 0 1 2 2 0	25 36 1 0 1 2 1	 34 49 2 0 1 2 2 2 	 61 87 2 1 0 1 	52 74 2 1 1 1 1	49 70 2 0 1 1 0
Step 2 In-depth	Success Financial planning phase Feasibility phase	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase The financial burden for homeowners (FB5) Split incentives (FB4) Technical challenges in older buildings (TB6) Safety and seismic risks (TB3) High upfront cost (FB1) Higher service costs after renovation (FB7)	70 % 4 4 4 4 1 5 5	48 69 1 0 0 0 1 1 0	52 74 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	51 73 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 60 1 0 0 0 1 1 0	48 69 1 0 0 0 0 0	 51 73 1 0 	45 64 1 0 1 1 1 1 0	42 60 0 1 1 1 0 0	 41 59 2 0 1 2 0 2 0 2 2 	25 36 1 0 1 2 1 1	34 49 2 0 1 2 2 2 0	61 87 2 1 1 0 0 1 0	52 74 1 1 1 1 0	49 70 2 0 1 1 0 1 1
Step 2 In-depth phase	Success Financial planning phase Feasibility phase	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase The financial burden for homeowners (FB5) Split incentives (FB4) Technical challenges in older buildings (TB6) Safety and seismic risks (TB3) High upfront cost (FB1) Higher service costs after renovation (FB7) Complex ownership structure (Voting system) (LB1)	70 % 4 4 4 1 5 5 5	48 69 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0	52 74 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	51 73 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 60 1 0 0 1 0 1 0	48 69 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	 51 73 0 	45 64 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0	42 60 0 1 1 0 0 0 1	41 59 2 0 1 2 0 2 0 2 0	25 36 1 0 1 2 1 1 1 1	34 49 2 0 1 2 2 2 0 2 2	61 87 2 1 1 0 1 0 0 0	52 74 2 1 1 1 1 0 1	49 70 2 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0
Step 2 In-depth phase	Success Financial planning phase Feasibility phase	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase The financial burden for homeowners (FB5) Split incentives (FB4) Technical challenges in older buildings (TB6) Safety and seismic risks (TB3) High upfront cost (FB1) Higher service costs after renovation (FB7) Complex ownership structure (Voting system) (LB1) (SB1)	70 % 4 4 4 1 5 5 5 4 4	48 69 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1	52 74 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	51 73 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 60 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1	 48 69 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 	 51 73 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 	45 64 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1	42 60 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1	41 59 2 0 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 1	25 36 1 0 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1	34 49 2 0 1 2 2 2 0 0 2 1	 61 87 2 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 	52 74 2 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1	49 70 2 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1
Step 2 In-depth phase	Success Financial planning phase Feasibility phase Pre-planning phase	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase The financial burden for homeowners (FB5) Split incentives (FB4) Technical challenges in older buildings (TB6) Safety and seismic risks (TB3) High upfront cost (FB1) Higher service costs after renovation (FB7) Complex ownership structure (Voting system) (LB1) (SB1) Lack of quality assurance (TB4)	70 % 4 4 4 1 5 5 4 4 4 4	48 69 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1	52 74 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0	51 73 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	42 60 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	48 69 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0	51 73 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	45 64 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1	42 60 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0	41 59 2 0 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 1 1 1	25 36 1 0 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 34 49 2 0 1 2 2 0 2 1 0 0 	61 87 2 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	52 74 2 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 2	49 70 2 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 1 0 1 2 0 1 1 0 1 2 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Step 2 In-depth phase	Success Financial planning phase Feasibility phase Pre-planning phase	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase The financial burden for homeowners (FB5) Split incentives (FB4) Technical challenges in older buildings (TB6) Safety and seismic risks (TB3) High upfront cost (FB1) Higher service costs after renovation (FB7) Complex ownership structure (Voting system) (LB1) (SB1) Lack of quality assurance (TB4) Lack of sufficient funding (FB3)	70 % 4 4 4 1 5 5 5 4 4 4 4 3	48 69 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1	52 74 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0	51 73 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	 42 60 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 2 	48 69 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0	51 73 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1	45 64 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0	42 60 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	41 59 2 0 1 2 0 0 2 0 0 1 1 1 0 0	25 36 1 0 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0	 34 49 2 0 1 2 2 0 2 1 0 1 	 61 87 2 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 	52 74 2 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 2 1 1	49 70 2 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Step 2 In-depth phase	Success Financial planning phase Feasibility phase Pre-planning phase	Total score per phase rate per business model to pass this phase The financial burden for homeowners (FB5) Split incentives (FB4) Technical challenges in older buildings (TB6) Safety and seismic risks (TB3) High upfront cost (FB1) Higher service costs after renovation (FB7) Complex ownership structure (Voting system) (LB1) (SB1) Lack of quality assurance (TB4) Lack of sufficient funding (FB3) Total score per phase	70 % 4 4 1 5 5 4 4 4 4 3 76	48 69 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 20	52 74 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 2 1 2	51 73 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 8	 42 60 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 	48 69 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 2 1 2	 51 73 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 11 	 45 64 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 22 	42 60 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 3 3	 41 59 2 0 1 2 0 2 0 1 1 0 32 	25 36 1 0 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 32	 34 49 2 0 1 2 2 0 2 1 0 1 39 	 61 87 2 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 28 	52 74 2 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 2 2 1 41	49 70 2 0 1 1 1 0 1 2 0 30

11/8/2024



Data analysis of the 14 BMs on how they tackle the identified barriers and their involvement in the renovation journey of HOAs

			Business models of integrated home renovation service providers												ers		
	Renovation		ght				Pub	olic				P	rivate	e	Public-Privat		
Steps	phases	Barrier	Weig	BM3	BM4	BM5	BM8	BM9	BM10	BM13	BM14	BM2	BM6	BM7	BM1	BM11	BM12
				Doe	s not	addr	ess tl	he ba	arrier	1= Pa	artial	ly add	dress	es 2=	=Fully	addı	resse
	Access to finance	The financial burden for homeowners (FB5)	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	2	2	2	1
	phase	High upfront cost (FB1)	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	0
	Financing/funding	Difficult collection of funds (FB2)	3	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	2	2	2
Step 3	nhase	Lack of sufficient funding (FB3)	3	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0
Transaction	phase	Limited access to financing (LB2)	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2
Phase	Permits phase	Complex and multilevel regulations (LB4)	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
		(LB1)	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Total score per phase				13	4	18	13	7	15	3	11	18	38	28	25	19
	Success	rate per business model to pass this phase	%	26	26	8	36	26	14	30	6	22	36	76	56	50	38
		Lack of technical know-how (TB1)	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
	Renovation	Lack of quality assurance (TB4)	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	2
	Planning phase	Lack of consistent and standardized solutions (TB2)	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	1	1
		Complex and multilevel regulations (LB4)	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
Step 4		Lack of technical know-how (TB1)	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
Implementation	Construction phase	Lack of quality assurance (TB4)	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	2
and Utilisation		Technical challenges in older buildings (TB6)	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1
	Post-renovation																
	phase	Differences between predicted & actual savings (TB5)	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	2
		Total score per phase	68	27	13	14	10	13	10	17	17	54	40	39	25	49	57
	Success	rate per business model to pass this phase	%	40	19	21	15	19	15	25	25	79	59	57	37	72	84

TUDelft Built Environment of Built Environment Built Environment

The main involvement of the three models in the renovation journey



01Introduction

02 Setting the scen

arch Mathadalagy

04 Data analysis



The Green Model

Comparison of the capability rate per business model to pass each phase

			Public							Private			Public-Private			
Steps	Renovation phases	BM3	BM4	BM5	BM8	BM9	BM10	BM13	BM14	BM2	BM6	BM7	BM1	BM11	BM12	
	Initial observation/ identification phase		••	••												
Step 1 Onboarding phase	Research & information-gathering phase									\bigcirc						
	Assessment phase															
	Financial planning phase												\bullet			
Step 2 In-depth phase	Feasibility phase															
	Pre-planning phase												\mathbf{O}			
	Access to finance phase															
Step 3 Transaction Phase	Financing/funding phase															
	Permits phase															
	Renovation Planning phase															
Step 4 implementation and	Construction phase															
Ounsation	Post-renovation phase															

(Green \geq 67 %, Yellow when <67 % and \geq 33 %, and finally Red < 33 %)

05 Findings

Viable Replicable Model

11/8/2024

Ragy Elgendy



The main strengths of the three organizational structures

ВМ Туре	Strengths		C	hallenges		
Public Organizations	 Exceptional challenges Offers extendeep under 	in addressing broad socie nsive tailored advice , lever standing of diverse custon	 tal raging a ner needs. 	Complex broad so vulnerat	ity in resource allocation cietal goals with service ole to political/econom	on, balancing e provision, ic shifts.
Private Organizations	 Providing h specialized Notable for tools and ir specific cus 	igh-quality renovation star services. developing robust financi movative solutions that ca tomer profiles.	 ndards and al planning ater to 	Limited s profit mo Needs to custome	societal impact scope, otives with environmen o expand impact beyon or base.	balancing Ital goals, I d the initial
Public-Private Partnerships	 Merges the between the the operation Particularly comprehen through a box 	e best of both by tying the be societal reach of public r onal excellence of private e effective in creating sustai sive outcomes in energy re palanced focus on both sca y-centric initiatives.	knot models with entities. inable, enovations lability and	Aligning dynamic challeng	diverse interests and c s, governance and acco es.	operational ountability
11/8/2024		Ragy El	gendy			20
				vsis	05 Findings	



Recommendations



01Introduction

03 Research Methodolog



Policy recommendations



Policy recommendations for supporting Integrated Home Renovation Services tailored for HOAs:

- Promote PPP to leverage both public funding and private expertise, ensuring HOAs have the necessary resources.
- Municipal support for local OSS to directly manage and facilitate HOA renovations, along with local grants or tax relief to reduce financial burdens.
- Streamline legal and bureaucratic processes to reduce delays and lower the costs associated with initiating and implementing energy renovation projects.
- Develop a national program for HOA social and energy coaches to guide HOAs in strategic planning and financial management for sustainable and economically feasible renovations.



Takeaways

Successful energy renovations in condominiums require a **multifaceted approach**, addressing financial, legal, social, and technical barriers.

Innovative financial strategies and **policy adaptations** are crucial, considering local governments, and financial markets

Crucial factors for **BM viability** include **market demand**, **financial strategies**, **regulatory support**, **innovation**, **partnerships** and **customer engagement**.

Matchmaking services for HOAs to streamline their connection with qualified renovation providers is crucial.

A viable BM might exist but is not yet replicable.

11/8/2024

05 Findings



Future research

Stakeholder's roles and responsibilities





11/8/2024

Ragy Elgendy



RAGY ELGENDY PhD candidate

r.elgendy@tudelft.nl











Methodology



11/8/2024	Ragy E	Elgendy	26
	03 Research Methodology	04 Data analysis	